



RUTGERS-NEW BRUNSWICK

Eagleton Institute of Politics

Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling

September 2026

Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling

Montana Insights Poll #4

Methodology Statement

Jessica L. Roman, MPP

Dir., Data Management & Analysis
ECPIP

Ashley Koning, PhD

Assistant Research Professor
Director, ECPIP

David Martin, PhD

Research Associate
ECPIP

**Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics | Rutgers University-New Brunswick**

The Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling (ECPIP), home of the Rutgers-Eagleton Poll, was established in 1971. Celebrating over five decades and publication of more than 200 public opinion polls on the state of New Jersey, ECPIP is the first and longest continuously running university-based state survey research centers in the United States.

Our mission is to provide scientifically sound, non-partisan information about public opinion. ECPIP conducts research for all levels of government and nonprofit organizations with a public interest mission, as well as college and university-based researchers and staff. ECPIP makes it a priority to design opportunities for undergraduate and graduate students to learn how to read, analyze, design, and administer polls. We pride ourselves on integrity, quality, and objectivity.

To read more about ECPIP and view all of our press releases and published research, please visit our website: eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu.



Weighted Demographics

845 Montana Registered Voters 18+

Overall Margin of Error = +/- 4.2 percentage points

Please note: Totals may equal slightly more or less than 100% due to rounding. Some categories may be condensed due to statistical significance testing and n size.

		deff	MOE
Man	50%	1.57	+/- 5.7%
Woman	50%	1.46	+/- 6.2%
18-34	23%	1.31	+/- 10.4%
35-49	24%	1.66	+/- 8.0%
50-64	22%	1.44	+/- 8.4%
65+	31%	1.44	+/- 7.1%
Democrat	20%	1.35	+/- 7.5%
Independent	44%	1.61	+/- 6.1%
Republican	36%	1.27	+/- 7.8%
HS or Less	28%	1.22	+/- 9.7%
Some College	32%	1.49	+/- 7.0%
Bachelor's Degree	26%	1.42	+/- 7.3%
Graduate work	14%	1.37	+/- 8.3%
<50K	28%	1.43	+/- 8.4%
50K-<100K	33%	1.55	+/- 7.5%
100K-<150K	21%	1.62	+/- 9.9%
150K+	18%	1.49	+/- 8.9%
CD1 – West	50%	1.47	+/- 6.0%
CD2 – East	50%	1.59	+/- 5.8%

Methodology

This Montana Insights Poll was conducted from August 27 to September 7, 2026, with a sample of 845 Montana registered voters, aged 18 or older. This poll utilized a cell phone sample from the national voter registration database and exclusively employed one-to-one push-to-web texting.

Weighting

The data were weighted to be representative of Montana registered voters.

The sample frame was national voter files maintained by L2. Sample was drawn from records that have a cell phone number appended. The sample was drawn disproportionately across 12 strata defined by modeled education, modeled 2024 presidential vote, and age. A base weight was applied to correct for the disproportionate sampling across strata.

The sample was then balanced to match target population parameters for sex (male, female), age (18-34, 35-49, 50-64, 65+), education (high school or less, some college, college degree, grad school), race and ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, Hispanic, Native American, other), region (Central, Missouri River, Southeast, Southwest, Western, Yellowstone), and 2024 presidential vote (Trump, Harris, other, did not vote). Population parameters for education, race, and Hispanic origin were derived from pooled 2022-2024 CPS Voting and Registration Supplement data. The Native American and Hispanic benchmarks were adjusted using American Community Survey (ACS) estimates to account for under-coverage of these groups in the CPS in Montana. The region benchmark, age, and sex were pulled from all Montana registered voters from the national voter file maintained by L2. The 2024 Presidential Vote benchmark was pulled from Montana Secretary of State website.¹

¹ Montana Secretary of State, "2024 General Election Results," accessed January 22, 2026, <https://electionresults.mt.gov/>. The "did not vote" share of the benchmark was set to its observed rate in the sample, with vote choice categories rescaled proportionally.

Weighting was accomplished using iterative proportional fitting, also known as raking. IPF is a procedure used to adjust the cells of a multi-dimensional contingency table so that all marginal totals match targets across all dimensions. Weights were trimmed to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on the final results. The use of these weights in statistical analysis ensures that the demographic characteristics of the sample closely approximate the demographic characteristics of the target population.

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. We calculate the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called "design effect" or *deff* represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from a disproportionate sample design and systematic non-response.²

All surveys are subject to sampling error, which is the expected probable difference between interviewing everyone in a population versus a scientific sampling drawn from that population. Sampling error should be adjusted to recognize the effect of weighting the data to better match the population. In this survey, the simple sampling margin of error is +/- 3.4 percentage points. The design effect³ is 1.53, making the adjusted margin of error +/- 4.2 percentage points. Thus, if 50% of Montana adult residents in this sample favor a particular position, we would be 95% sure that the true figure is between 45.8% and 54.2% (50 +/- 4.2) if all residents had been interviewed, rather than just a sample.

Sampling error is only one possible source of error in a survey estimate. Sampling error does not consider other sources of variation inherent in public opinion studies, such as selection bias,

² The composite design effect for a sample of size n , with each case having a weight, w , is computed as $deff = n \sum w^2 / (\sum w)^2$.

³ Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. We calculate the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data.

Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics | Rutgers University-New Brunswick

non-response bias, question wording, context effects, or reporting accuracy, which may contribute additional error.

This Montana Insights Poll was fielded by RumbleUp using one-to-one push-to-web texting. Sample was provided by L2, Inc. The questionnaire was developed by the Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling (ECPIP) in collaboration with Montana Free Press (MTFP). All data analyses were completed in house by ECPIP. The Montana Insights Poll is paid for and sponsored by MTFP. The Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling is based at the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, a non-partisan academic center for the study of politics and the political process. For more information, please contact poll@eagleton.rutgers.edu.

Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling
Eagleton Institute of Politics | Rutgers University-New Brunswick

Eagleton Institute of Politics
Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
191 Ryders Lane
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901-8557

eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu
Twitter: @EagletonPoll
Facebook: /RutgersEagletonPoll
poll@eagleton.rutgers.edu
848-932-8940